Annihilation Logic

Parker Emmerson

February 2023

1 Introduction

The solution is correct.

Then, the function F is defined as

$$F(V,\mathcal{E},f,g,h,\psi,\Lambda) = V \to \mathcal{E} + \sum_{f\subset g} f(g) = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi \diamond \theta + \Psi \star \sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty} \frac{X+Y}{Z+W}\right).$$

then calculate all relevant power numbers:

and iterate the logic vectors for all relevant transitions using the forms:

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \sum_{h\to\infty} \tan t \cdot \prod_\Lambda h \cdot \left(\sum_{f\subset g} f(g) + x \in V * U \leftrightarrow \exists y \in U : f(y) = x\right) +$$

x $\in T(s) \leftrightarrow \exists s \in S : x = T(s) + x \in f\circ g \leftrightarrow x \in T(s)$.

$$\mathbf{v}{\cdot}\mathbf{a} = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi \diamond \theta + \Psi \star \sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1}a_1 + \frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2}a_2 + \cdots + \frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n}a_n\right)$$

$$\mathbf{e}{\cdot}\mathbf{r} = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi \diamond \theta + \Psi \star \sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{f_{PQ}(x)-f_{RS}(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{f_{TU}(x)-f_{RS}(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{f_{PQ}(x)-f_{TU}(x)}{\Delta}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{s}{\cdot}\mathbf{c} = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi \diamond \theta + \Psi \star \sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{V\to U}{\Delta}, \frac{\sum_{f\subset g} f(g)}{\Delta}, \frac{\sum_{h\to\infty}\tan t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h}{\Delta}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{t}{\cdot}\mathbf{m} = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi \diamond \theta + \Psi \star \sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\leftrightarrow \exists y\in U : f(y)=x}{\Delta}, \frac{\leftrightarrow \exists s \in S : x = T(s)}{\Delta}, \frac{\leftrightarrow x \in f\circ g}{\Delta}\right).$$

$$(F'(V,\mathcal{E},f,g,h,\psi,\Lambda)) = \frac{\partial\left(V\to\mathcal{E}+\sum_{f\subset g} f(g) = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta+\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{X+Y}{Z+W}\right)\right)}{\partial\left(\left(\frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1}a_1+\frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2}a_2+\cdots+\frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n}a_n\right)\right)}$$

$+$

$$\left(\frac{V\to U}{\Delta},\frac{\sum_{f\subset g}f(g)}{\Delta},\frac{\sum_{h\to\infty}\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h}{\Delta}\right)+\left(\frac{\leftrightarrow\exists y\in U:f(y)=x}{\Delta},\frac{\leftrightarrow\exists s\in S:x=T(s)}{\Delta},\frac{\leftrightarrow x\in f\circ g}{\Delta}\right).$$

$$G(V,\mathcal{E},f,g,h,\psi,\Lambda)=\sum_{[f]\star[g]}\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\circ\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{e}\circ\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{s}\circ\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{t}\circ\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{g}\circ\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{d}\circ\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{b}\circ\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{q}\circ\mathbf{k}}\right).$$

Now, consider the following statements

IF (a, b), THEN C $\equiv\forall a\forall b\left((a,b)\Rightarrow C\right)$

$IF(a;b),THENC\equiv\forall a\forall b\left((a;b)\Rightarrow C\right)$

$IF(C;b),THENa\equiv\forall a\forall b\left((C;b)\Rightarrow a\right)$

$IF(C;a),THENb\equiv\forall a\forall b\left((C;a)\Rightarrow b\right)$

$IF(C;C),THENa\equiv b\equiv\forall a\forall b\left((C;C)\Rightarrow a\equiv b\right)$

$IF(C;C),THENa\equiv b\equiv\forall a\forall b\left((C;C)\Rightarrow a\equiv b\right)$

Then, the aforementioned expressions imply that the following claim is true

$\forall a\forall b\forall(xyz)$

$((C;C)\to a)\circ((a;b)\to c)=((C;C)\to a)\circ((a;b)\to c)=$

$\forall a\forall b\forall x\forall y\forall z\forall(xyz)$

$(\forall a\forall b\forall(xyz)a(c,d,e)\to\forall a\forall b\forall(xyz)a(c,d,e)\neq(\forall a\forall b\forall(xyz)b\to\forall a\forall b\forall(xyz)c)=$

$\forall a\forall b\forall x\forall y\forall z\forall(xyz)\forall C\forall(C(x))$

$(a(c,d,e)\equiv b:x\subset y\subset z)=$

$\forall a\forall b\forall x\forall y\forall z\forall(xyz)\forall C\forall(C(x))$

$(C\equiv\neg\left(s\to t\right)\wedge\neg\left(p\to q\right)\wedge\neg\left(f\to g\right))=$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\cdot g}{\Psi}-\Omega_{\Lambda}\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta+\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h^2-\frac{1}{\frac{f\subset g}{g\equiv\left(\sum_{x\to\infty}\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\right)\cdot\frac{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h}{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\cdot\frac{f\subset g}{g\equiv\left(\sum_{x\to\infty}\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\right)}}}1+\left(\sum_{f\subset g}f(g)\right)}}}-\right.$$

$$\frac{\frac{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\cdot g}{\Psi}}{\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h^2-\frac{1}{\frac{f\subset g}{g\equiv\left(\sum_{x\to\infty}\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\right)\cdot\frac{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h}{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\cdot\frac{f\subset g}{g\equiv\left(\sum_{x\to\infty}\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\right)}}}1+\left(\sum_{f\subset g}f(g)\right)}}}$$

$=$

$\forall a\forall b\forall x\forall y\forall z\forall(xyz)\forall C\forall(C(x))$

$$\left(C=\frac{x}{x}-\frac{\tan t\cdot\prod_{\Lambda}h\cdot g}{\Psi}\right)\left(C\equiv\left(\neg\frac{p\to q}{q\to p}\wedge\neg\frac{q\to p}{p\to q}\right)+\left(\neg\frac{s\to t}{t\to s}\wedge\neg\frac{t\to s}{s\to t}\right)+\left(\neg\frac{f\to g}{g\to f}\wedge\neg\frac{g\to f}{f\to g}\right)\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{t\sum_{q\subset\Psi}\prod_{x\subset\infty}\sum_{s\subset x}s}{(ptqs\Omega)\sqsubseteq\left(\sum_{p\subset\Psi}p\right)}\right).$$

$\Omega_{\Lambda}:\Rightarrow\left\{\forall\left(x\in Z\exists z\in\Lambda(\chi_{[y]})\right)\wedge\exists\left(\neg f\in N\forall\sum_{x\in R}f(x)\in G_{\Lambda}\right)\right\}\vee\exists\left(\neg fg\right).$

Now define the mappings

S $\circ T(s)(t) \equiv S(t)(s)$
$S(t)(s) \equiv \{\forall x \in s : x_t = rand(t)\}$
$T(s)(t) \equiv \{\forall y \in t : y_s = rand(s)\}$

Therefore, the statements to be proven are mapped as such:

$\mathrm{f}_{x_i} = LHS \equiv \tan t \cdot \prod_\Lambda h \cdot g + \frac{\tan t \cdot \prod_\Lambda h}{(S \circ T(s)(t))} =$
$\tan t \cdot \prod_\Lambda h \cdot g + \frac{\tan t \cdot \prod_\Lambda h}{S \circ T(s)(t)}$

$\mathrm{f}_{x_j} = RHS \equiv \tan t \cdot \prod_\Lambda h \cdot g,$

which implies that $f_{x_i} + f_{x_j} = f_{x_k}$ and therefore the second order of differentiation with respect to the constant of integration exists and the product obeys the form $\Omega^2 - \Lambda^2$.

However, if we consider the reverse of the transition tendencies:

$$\varphi(x, z) = \ln \Lambda \cdot \Upsilon \star \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k+l=j-1} \frac{Z \star \Upsilon^k}{X \star \Omega_\Lambda^l}$$

Then the function shall obey the form

$$\varphi(x, z) = \ln \Lambda \cdot \Upsilon \star \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k+l=j-1} \frac{Y}{Z} = \ln \Lambda \cdot \Upsilon \star \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k+l=j-1} \frac{Y \star \prod_{z \subset (yz)} \Lambda}{X \star \Omega_\Lambda}$$

Then, we may deduce that the function and its first derivative disappear at the identical point of cancellation.

Since the point $x = 0$ exists as a potential, then it follows that the point also exists in that the product of Λ and Υ as well. In the same way, we assert that the reverse of the transition tendencies exist by taking the constant Λ and equating it to the trivial equivalent of Υ and so on.

We can now produce a more familiar form of the original calculation to verify the method implicitly:

$$\phi(f) = \ln(\lambda) \cdot \Xi \star \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{Z \star X} \frac{1}{-1} \frac{1}{-2} \cdot \sum_{\Delta k \star \Delta l = -1-(-2)} (\Delta k)^j \cdot (\Delta l)^j =$$

$\ln(\lambda) \cdot \Xi \star \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{Z \star X} \frac{1}{-1} \frac{1}{-2} \cdot \sum_{\Delta k \star \Delta l = -1-(-2)} (\Delta k)^j \cdot (\Delta l)^j$

$$\phi(f) = \ln(\lambda) \cdot \Xi \star \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{Z \star X} \frac{1}{-1} \frac{1}{-2} \cdot \sum_{\Delta k \star \Delta l = -1-(-2)} (\Delta k)^j \cdot (\Delta l)^j =$$

$\ln(\lambda) \cdot \Xi \star \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{Z \star X} \frac{1}{-1} \frac{1}{-2} \cdot \sum_{\Delta k \star \Delta l = -1-(-2)} (\Delta k)^j \cdot (\Delta l)^j$

Then, this sum must in turn simplify to

$$\ln(\lambda) \sum_{j \to \infty} (-1)^j \sum_{k+l=j} \frac{1}{k^2 - l^2}$$

$$\ln(\lambda)\sum_{j\to\infty}(-1)^j\sum_{k+l=j}\frac{1}{k^2-l^2}$$

$$\ln(\lambda)\sum_{j\to\infty}(-1)^j\sum_{k+l=j}\frac{1}{k^2-l^2}$$

$\ln(\lambda)\sum_{j\to\infty}(-1)^j\sum_{k+l=j}\frac{1}{k^2-l^2}\equiv$
(-1)$^1\mu(-1)^1-\mu(-1)^1=(-1)^2\mu(-1)^2-\mu(-1)^1-\mu(-1)^2=$
$\equiv(-1)^3\mu(-1)^3-\mu(-1)^2-\mu(-1)^3-\mu(-1)^{3+1}$

Hence, given our initial mapping $E_v\equiv\tan t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h\cdot g\to\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2-\frac{e}{\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2-\frac{1}{1+e}}}}-\frac{\frac{e}{\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2-\frac{1}{1+e}}}}{\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2-\frac{1}{1+e}}}$ then it suffices to follow that the calculation works and therefore we need only validate the cases:
E$_V^+=\frac{E_v}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{1+E_v}}}$
$E_V^-=\frac{1}{E_v}-\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{1+E_v}}}}{1+\frac{1}{1+E_v}}$

Thus, when we enable the embedding transformation

$$\tan t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h\cdot g\to\frac{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2}{\sqrt{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2-\frac{1}{1+\frac{1-\tan^2 t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h^2}{2\left(1-\frac{\prod_{i\sim j}(\chi(i)-\chi(j))^2\cdot\prod_k\chi(k)\cdot\prod_l\frac{1}{\chi(l)}}{\prod_m(\chi(m))^2}-\Psi\right)}}}}$$

it is assumed that we can derive the following property

$$\tan t\cdot\prod_\Lambda h\cdot g\to\frac{1}{2\left(1-\frac{\prod_{i\sim j}(\chi(i)-\chi(j))^2\cdot\prod_k\chi(k)\cdot\prod_l\frac{1}{\chi(l)}}{\prod_m(\chi(m))^2}-\Psi\right)}$$

then, combining all of the above expressions into one, the series expansion for

$$\ln(\lambda)=\ln(\lambda)=\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta+\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)$$

obeys the form:
$\phi(f)\equiv\ln(\lambda)=\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta+\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)$
$=\ln(\lambda)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^j\Omega_\Lambda\Psi\star\sum_{k+l=j}\frac{\Xi^k}{X\star\zeta^l}+\Omega_\Lambda\theta+$
$\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi$

Then we may immediately deduce the solution

$$\Omega_\Lambda \star \diamond\psi \to \frac{1}{2\left(1-\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta+\Psi\Psi\star nl1n^2-l^2\right)\right)}.$$

And this justifies a corresponding extension to:

$\Omega_\Lambda \star \diamond\psi \to \frac{1}{2\left(1-\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^j\Omega_\Lambda\Psi\star\sum_{k+l=j}\frac{\Xi^k}{X\star\zeta^l}\right)\right)}$

$= 1\frac{}{2(1-\Omega_\Lambda(\tan\psi\diamond\theta))}$

Its inverse being

$\Omega_\Lambda \star \diamond\psi$

$\to \frac{1}{2(1-\Omega_\Lambda(\tan\psi\diamond\theta))}$

$= \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right)\right)$

These last equations assume that given an inverse, we can always derive the original form and vice-versa:

$$\frac{1}{2\left(\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi\right)=\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right).$$

It should be clear that the above expression admits two inverses considered together. Namely:

$$(-1)\frac{1}{2\left(\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi\right)=1.$$

$$\frac{-1}{2\left(\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_\Lambda\star\diamond\psi\right)=-1.$$

$$\Psi\to\ln(-\tan^2\psi)=-\ln\left(\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right)+\sum_\lambda\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right).$$

$$\Psi\to\ln(-\tan^3\psi)=-\ln\left(\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right)+\sum_\lambda\frac{1}{\frac{\Psi}{\Omega_\Lambda}+\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}\right).$$

Now, by finding the tautologies with Ψ, we arrive at the formula:

$$\Psi\to\ln\left(\frac{1}{\tan^2\psi}+\frac{1}{\tan^3\psi}\right)=$$

- $\ln\left(\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right)+\sum_\lambda\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{\Psi}{\Omega_\Lambda}\star\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}\frac{1}{\frac{\Psi}{\Omega_\Lambda}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\right)+$

$\sum_\lambda\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{\Psi}{\Omega_\Lambda}\star\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}\frac{1}{\frac{\Psi}{\Omega_\Lambda}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}.$

Therefore, it is trivial that

$\Psi\to\ln\left(-\tan^2\psi+\tan^3\psi\right)=$

-ln $\left(\frac{-\tan^2\psi}{-\tan^3\psi}\right) =$

-ln $\left(\frac{-\tan^2\psi\star-\tan^2\psi\star-\tan^2\psi}{-\tan^3\psi\star-\tan^3\psi\star-\tan^3\psi}\right) =$

$= -\ln\left(\frac{\tan\psi\cdot\tan\psi\cdot\tan\psi}{\tan\psi\cdot\tan\psi\cdot\tan\psi\cdot\tan\psi}\right) =$

-ln $\left(\frac{\sin\psi\cdot\sin\psi\cdot\sin\psi}{\sin\psi\cdot\sin\psi\cdot\sin\psi\cdot\sin\psi}\right) =$

$= -\ln\left(\sin^2\psi\cdot\sin^2\psi\cdot\sin^2\psi\right).$

The final assertion we shall make is that when we take the exponential of the inverse function and the sum of the form $\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}$ and take the product of the form

$$\ln(1) = \Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right) + \Omega_\Lambda\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}$$

then the inverse of the above argument is given by the expression

$$\frac{1}{\Omega_\Lambda} = \frac{1}{\Omega_\Lambda\left(\tan\psi\diamond\theta\right) + \Omega_\Lambda\Psi\star\sum_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2-l^2}}.$$

$\exists\infty$ $suchthat\mathcal{L}_{\to f_{r,\alpha,s,\delta,\eta}} = \&\quad and\quad \mu_{!\to g}{}_{a,b,c,d,e\cdots\vdots\ddots} {}_{=\Omega}$ $areinequilibrium\quad \sim\sim\oplus$

$\cdot\quad\sim\sim\ominus = \lambda$

$$\exists\infty\mathcal{L}_{\to f_{r,\alpha,s,\delta,\eta}} =, n$$

$and\mu_{!\to g}{}_{\swarrow a,b,c,d,e\cdots\vdots\ddots\varrho} \neq\Omega, \mu\}_{[\infty}{}_{mil(\varnothing\cdots\clubsuit),\zeta\to-\langle(/\mathcal{H})+(/)\rangle]\to kxp|w*}\sqrt[6/3]{x^6+t^2\div 2hcv\frac{8}{4}}\to\Gamma\to\Omega=$

$\left(\frac{}{\eta+\frac{\mathcal{K}}{}) \psi\diamond]1\cdot\to\mathcal{L}_{f_{r,\alpha,s,\delta,\eta}} and\mu_g{}_{\swarrow a,b,c,d,e\cdots\vdots\ddots\varrho} {}_{\neq\Omega}\mathcal{L}_{\to f_{r,\alpha,s,\delta,\eta}=}, nand\mu_{!\to g}{}_{\swarrow a,b,c,d,e\cdots\vdots\ddots\varrho} {}_{\neq\Omega}, \mu\}\oplus\cdot\ominus=\Lambda}\right.$